The Folliculin Tumor Suppressor Is a GAP for the RagC/D GTPases That Signal Amino Acid Levels to mTORC1
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SUMMARY

The mTORC1 kinase is a master growth regulator that senses numerous environmental cues, including amino acids. The Rag GTPases interact with mTORC1 and signal amino acid sufficiency by promoting the translocation of mTORC1 to the lysosomal surface, its site of activation. The Rags are unusual GTPases in that they function as obligate heterodimers, which consist of RagA or B bound to RagC or D. While the loading of RagA/B with GTP initiates amino acid signaling to mTORC1, the role of RagC/D is unknown. Here, we show that RagC/D is a key regulator of the interaction of mTORC1 with the Rag heterodimer and that, unexpectedly, RagC/D must be GDP bound for the interaction to occur. We identify FLCN and its binding partners, FNIP1/2, as Rag-interacting proteins with GAP activity for RagC/D, but not RagA/B. Thus, we reveal a role for RagC/D in mTORC1 activation and a molecular function for the FLCN tumor suppressor.

INTRODUCTION

The mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) protein kinase is a master regulator of growth. It senses a diverse set of signals, such as growth factors and nutrient and energy levels, to regulate many anabolic and catabolic processes, including protein, lipid, and nucleotide synthesis, as well as autophagy. Given that mTORC1 regulates a multitude of processes, it is not surprising that the pathway it anchors is deregulated in various common diseases, including cancer (reviewed in Howell et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2013; Zoncu et al., 2011b).

The mechanisms through which mTORC1 senses and integrates stimuli have been of great interest over the last few years. One key upstream factor is the TSC1-TSC2 tumor suppressor, which suppresses mTORC1 in response to growth factor or energy deprivation (Brugarolas et al., 2004; Castro et al., 2003; Corradetti et al., 2005; Garami et al., 2003; Inoki et al., 2003a, 2003b; Ma et al., 2005; Reiling and Hafen, 2004; Roux et al., 2004; Saucedo et al., 2003; Stocker et al., 2003; Tee et al., 2002, 2003a, 2003b; Zhang et al., 2003). TSC1-TSC2 does so by inhibiting Rheb, a GTP-binding protein that is an essential activator of the mTORC1 kinase activity (Long et al., 2005; Sancak et al., 2007).

mTORC1 is also acutely sensitive to drops in amino acid levels, but these nutrients do not appear to signal through TSC1-TSC2 (Nobukuni et al., 2005; Roccio et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2005). Instead, emerging evidence indicates that mTORC1 activation by amino acids requires a lysosome-associated machinery, comprised of the vacuolar adenosine triphosphatase (v-ATPase), the Ragulator, and the Rag GTPases (Kim et al., 2008, 2010; Zoncu et al., 2011a). Like Rheb, the Rags are members of the Ras-related GTP-binding superfamily of proteins, but they are unusual in that they function as obligate heterodimers of RagA or B (A/B) with RagC or D (C/D). RagA and RagB are highly homologous and redundant, as are RagC and RagD (Hirose et al., 1998; Sancak et al., 2008; Schürmann et al., 1995; Sekiguchi et al., 2001). We have proposed that amino acids signal from within the lysosomal lumen to Ragulator, in a v-ATPase-dependent fashion. In turn, Ragulator activates RagA/B through its guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) activity. When RagA/B is loaded with GTP, the Rag heterodimer recruits mTORC1 to the lysosomal surface where it binds Rheb and becomes activated (Bar-Peled et al., 2013; Bar-Peled et al., 2012; Efeyan et al., 2012).

Whereas much attention has focused on RagA/B, the role of RagC/D in mTORC1 signaling has remained a mystery. Here, we make the surprising finding that GDP loading of RagC is necessary for the binding of mTORC1 to the Rag heterodimer and that the nucleotide state of RagC affects the activation of mTORC1 in response to amino acids. Moreover, we identified the FLCN-FNIP complex as a potent GTPase activating protein (GAP) for RagC/D that interacts with the Rag heterodimer in an amino acid-sensitive fashion and localizes to the lysosomal surface upon amino acid starvation. Thus, we provide a molecular function for FLCN, mutations in which cause the Birt-Hogg-Dubé hereditary cancer syndrome, and reveal a role for RagC/D in amino acid signaling to mTORC1.
RESULTS

The RagC Nucleotide State Determines mTORC1 Binding to the Rag Heterodimer

The binding of mTORC1 to the heterodimeric Rag GTPases in the presence of amino acids is a key event in the activation of mTORC1. Using two classes of Rag nucleotide-binding mutants, we and others have shown that the interaction between the Rags and mTORC1 depends on the nucleotide configuration of the Rag heterodimer (Gong et al., 2011; Sancak et al., 2008). The first class of mutations (RagB Q99L and RagC G1270S) is analogous to the oncogenic H-Ras G12V mutant (Frech et al., 1994; Krenzel et al., 1990) that abolishes GTPase activity and maintains RagB or RagC loaded with GTP (Bar-Peled et al., 2012; Sancak et al., 2008). Mutations of the second class (RagA T21N, RagB T54N, and RagC G575N) disrupt the coordination of the magnesium cofactor (Feig, 1999; Feig and Cooper, 1988; John et al., 1993), resulting in mutants with much lower affinity for all nucleotides, but with likely preferential binding of GDP over GTP within cells (Bar-Peled et al., 2012; Sancak et al., 2008).

To test the contribution of each Rag to the binding of mTORC1, we expressed combinations of Rag nucleotide mutants in human embryonic kidney (HEK)-293T cells. Consistent with previous reports (Gong et al., 2011; Sancak et al., 2008), RagB G99L-C G575N coimmunoprecipitated the largest amount of endogenous mTORC1 (Figure 1A). From these data, as well as the observation that RagA T21N- or RagB T54N-containing heterodimers do not coimmunoprecipitate mTORC1, it has been supposed that RagAB nucleotide state is the major determinant for mTORC1 binding (Gong et al., 2011; Sancak et al., 2008). To re-examine which Rag heterodimer is responsible for mTORC1 binding, we immunoprecipitated single Rag nucleotide mutants paired with wild-type partners. Surprisingly, RagB-C G575N but not RagB G99L-C, was sufficient to recover large amounts of mTORC1 similar to that of RagB G99L-C G575N, suggesting that the RagC nucleotide state determines mTORC1 binding (Figure 1A).

Because the behavior of these mutants may not reflect that of nucleotide-loaded, wild-type Rags, we developed an in vitro assay in which we load purified Rag heterodimers with specified nucleotides and monitor their ability to bind to raptor, the Rag-binding subunit of mTORC1. Unexpectedly, wild-type Rags loaded with GDP bound more raptor than Rags loaded with GTP (Figure 1B), suggesting that the GDP-bound state of one or both Rags promotes raptor binding. To determine which Rag in the heterodimer is responsible for this effect, we employed another class of Rag nucleotide mutants that has base specificity for xanthine rather than guanine nucleotides (Bar-Peled et al., 2012; Hoffenberg et al., 1995; Schmidt et al., 1996). We term these RagB X and RagC X (RagB 163SN, RagC 181SN), as they bind less than 2% of the amount of guanine nucleotides bound by their wild-type counterparts (Bar-Peled et al., 2012). Consistent with the results obtained in cells, the RagC nucleotide state determined raptor binding, as only GDP-loaded RagB X-C could bind raptor (Figure 1C). Importantly, RagB-C X loaded with XDP also recovered raptor, suggesting that the state induced by the nucleotide diphosphate loading of RagC promotes raptor binding (Figure 1D). Thus, unlike most GTPases, which activate their effectors in the GTP-bound state, it is the GDP-bound state of RagC that promotes raptor binding to the Rag heterodimer.

Given that the nucleotide state of RagC is important for mTORC1 binding, we reasoned that expression of RagC nucleotide mutants might alter the sensitivity of the mTORC1 pathway to amino acid levels. Indeed, expression of RagC G575N rendered mTORC1 activity resistant to amino acid starvation, as judged by phosphorylation of S6 kinase (S6K1), a canonical mTORC1 substrate (Figure 1E). Conversely, the GTP-bound mutant RagC G1270L blunted mTORC1 activity, even in the presence of amino acids. Thus, RagC plays a pivotal role in mediating the binding of mTORC1 to the Rag heterodimer and manipulating the nucleotide state of RagC affects mTORC1 pathway activity.

FLCN Interacts with the Rag GTPases in an Amino Acid-Sensitive Fashion

Because RagC is critical for mTORC1 activation, we sought to identify regulators of its nucleotide state. We employed proteomic approaches that have successfully identified other mTORC1 pathway components (see Experimental Procedures). Mass spectrometric analysis of anti-FLAG immunoprecipitates prepared from HEK293T cells stably expressing FLAG-tagged RagA, -B, -C, or -D, but not control proteins, consistently identified peptides derived from Folliculin (FLCN) and its interacting partners, FNIP1 and FNIP2.

FLCN is evolutionarily conserved, yet its molecular function remains unknown (Schmidt, 2012; van Slegtenhorst et al., 2007). Loss-of-function mutations in FLCN cause a familial cancer syndrome called Birt-Hogg-Dubé (BHD), characterized by hamartomatous tumors of the hair follicle (fibrofolliculomas), kidney, and lung (Birt et al., 1977; Nickerson et al., 2002). The FNIPs directly interact with AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), an energy-sensor that monitors the ATP/ADP ratio. Given these results, the possibility that the FLCN-FNIP complex interacts with the Rags was of great interest.

To begin to verify our mass spectrometric identification of FLCN and FNIPs as Rag-interacting proteins, we expressed them alone or in combination in HEK293T cells. Endogenous RagA and RagC coimmunoprecipitated with FLCN when it was
coexpressed with FNIP2, but not with metap2, or when FLCN or FNIP2 was expressed alone (Figure 2A). This suggests that a FLCN-FNIP2 complex is required for either FLCN or FNIP2 to interact with the Rags.

The Rags, Ragulator, and v-ATPase, established components of the mTORC1 nutrient-sensing machinery, all engage in nutrient-responsive interactions with each other (Bar-Peled et al., 2012; Efeyan et al., 2013; Zoncu et al., 2011a). Like that of Ragulator and the v-ATPase, the interaction between endogenous FLCN and the Rag heterodimer, isolated through stably transfected cDNAs, was analyzed as in (A). Irrelevant lanes were removed and indicated by a dashed line.

**FLCN Is Necessary for mTORC1 Activation and Localization to the Lysosomal Membranes**

Studies investigating the role of FLCN in the mTORC1 pathway in mammalian systems have yielded equivocal results. While in most cell-based systems acute loss of FLCN inhibits mTORC1 activation (Bastola et al., 2013; Hartman et al., 2009; Hudon et al., 2010; Takagi et al., 2008; van Slegtenhorst et al., 2007), deletion of FLCN in tissues in vivo causes mTORC1 hyperactivation (Baba et al., 2008, 2012; Chen et al., 2008; Hasumi et al., 2010) (see Discussion for more details). In the cell-based assays we have used to study other mTORC1 components, we find that FLCN is indeed necessary for mTORC1 activation by amino acids.

---

Figure 1. The RagC Nucleotide State Determines mTORC1 Binding to the Rag Heterodimer and Regulates Amino Acid Sensing by mTORC1

(A) Rag heterodimers containing RagC<sup>GSTM</sup> communoprecipitate the largest amount of endogenous mTORC1. Anti-FLAG immunoprecipitates were prepared from HEK293T cells expressing the indicated cDNAs. Cell lysates and immunoprecipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting for the indicated proteins. Recombinant HA-GST-tagged-RagB-RagC or -Rap2A was loaded with the indicated nucleotide and incubated with purified FLAG-tagged raptor protein and analyzed as in (B).

(B) Raptor preferentially binds a GDP-loaded Rag heterodimer. In vitro binding assay was performed, in which recombinant HA-GST-tagged-RagB-RagC or -Rap2A was loaded with the indicated nucleotide and incubated with purified FLAG-tagged raptor protein. HA-GST precipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting for indicated proteins. Irrelevant lanes were removed and indicated by a dashed line.

(C) Raptor only binds to the RagBX-C heterodimer when RagC is GDP-loaded. In vitro binding assay was performed, in which recombinant HA-GST-tagged-RagB-RagC or -Rap2A was loaded with the indicated nucleotide and incubated with purified FLAG-tagged raptor protein. HA-GST precipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting for indicated proteins. Irrelevant lanes were removed and indicated by a dashed line.

(D) Raptor only binds to the RagB-C X heterodimer when RagC X is XDP-loaded. In vitro binding assay was performed, in which recombinant HA-GST-tagged-RagB-RagC or -Rap2A was loaded with the indicated nucleotide and incubated with purified FLAG-tagged raptor protein and analyzed as in (B).

(E) Expression of RagC S75N or RagC Q120L renders the mTORC1 pathway insensitive to amino acid levels. HEK293T cells expressing the indicated cDNAs were analyzed as in (A). Irrelevant lanes were removed and indicated by a dashed line.
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FLCN is not required for the lysosomal localization of RagC. HEK293T cells expressing the indicated shRNAs were treated and processed as described in (E).

starved and restimulated with amino acids for the specified times before coimmunostaining for mTOR (red) and LAMP2 (green).

(E) Knockdown of FLCN prevents amino acid-induced translocation of mTOR to lysosomes. HEK293T cells expressing the indicated shRNAs were starved or amino acids for 90 min, or starved and restimulated with amino acids for 30 min and analyzed as in (C).

In all images, insets show selected fields that were magnified two times and their overlays. Scale bars represent 10 μm. See also Figure S1.

Acids. In HEK293T cells, short-hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) targeting FLCN suppressed mTORC1 activation by amino acids, as read out by the phosphorylation of S6K1 (Figure 2C). This phenotype was recapitulated in Drosophila S2 cells treated with double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) targeting the ortholog of FLCN, indicating that the function of FLCN is conserved (Figure 2D).

Collectively, these results show that FLCN interacts with the Rag GTPases in a nutrient-sensitive manner and is necessary for mTORC1 activation by amino acids.

A key event in the activation of mTORC1 by amino acids is its recruitment to the lysosomal surface by the Rag GTPases (Sancak et al., 2008). In HEK293T cells expressing shRNAs targeting FLCN, mTOR failed to localize to LAMP2-positive lysosomes in response to amino acid stimulation (Figure 2E).

Unlike Ragulator, the lysosomal scaffold for the Rags, FLCN was not required for Rag subcellular localization (Figure 2F). Thus, although the Rags localize appropriately to the lysosomal membranes in FLCN knockdown cells, mTORC1 is unable to be recruited there. These results are consistent with FLCN being required for mTORC1 activation by amino acids (Figure 2C).

FLCN Colocalizes with the Rag GTPases on the Lysosomal Surface in an Amino Acid-Sensitive Fashion

Given that FLCN and FNIPs are enriched in membranes (Takagi et al., 2008) and that FLCN interacts with the Rag GTPases, we tested the possibility that FLCN itself may localize to the lysosomal surface. Indeed, in HEK293T cells coexpressing HA-FNIP2, GFP-tagged FLCN colocalized with RFP-tagged LAMP1, a lysosomal marker (Figure 3A), and this association persisted over time as lysosomes trafficked within the cell.
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Figure 3. FLCN Localizes to the Lysosomal Surface in an Amino Acid-Sensitive Fashion
(A) FLCN localizes to the lysosomal surface. Spinning disk confocal image of a HEK293T cell coexpressing FLCN-GFP, HA-FNIP2, and mRFP-LAMP1 (pseudo-colored red and green in merge, respectively).

(B) FLCN associates with lysosomes as they traffic within cells. Time-lapse of FLCN- and LAMP1-positive lysosomes from the boxed region in (A) magnified by 2.5 times. Time intervals are in seconds.

(C) FLCN localizes to the lysosomal surface upon amino acid starvation. HEK293T cells expressing the indicated shRNAs were starved or starved and restimulated with amino acids for the specified times before communostaining for FLCN (red) and LAMP2 (green).

(D) Amino acid-sensitive localization of FLCN is independent of mTORC1 activity. HEK293T cells treated with DMSO or Torin1 (250 nM) were starved or starved and restimulated with amino acids for the specified times before communostaining for FLCN (red) and LAMP2 (green). In (C) and (D), insets show selected fields that were magnified two times and their overlays. All scale bars represent 10 μm. See also Movie S1 and Figure S2.

(Figure 3B and Movie S1). Consistent with previous reports (Takagi et al., 2008), FLCN-GFP was found diffusely throughout the cell when FNIP2 was not coexpressed (Figure S2), suggesting that FNIP2 is required for the lysosomal localization of FLCN.

Despite detecting amino acid-sensitive interactions between the Rags and FLCN in coimmunoprecipitation experiments, initial tests using transiently coexpressed FLCN and FNIP2 did not reveal appreciable nutrient-responsive changes in their localization. We reasoned that overexpression might overwhelm endogenous regulatory mechanisms; therefore, we sought to probe the localization of endogenous FLCN using an anti-FLCN antibody. Although knockdown of FLCN expression did not diminish the immunofluorescence signal of most anti-FLCN antibodies we tested, we did identify one antibody that showed both specific (lysosomal) and nonspecific (nuclear) signals (Figure 3C). Using this antibody we found that, in HEK293T cells, endogenous FLCN was enriched at the lysosomal surface...
during amino acid starvation and dispersed upon amino acid stimulation (Figure 3C). Furthermore, in HEK293T cells treated with Torin1, an ATP-competitive inhibitor of mTOR (Thoreen et al., 2009), FLCN still dispersed from the lysosome upon amino acid stimulation (Figure 3D). Thus, FLCN localizes to the lysosomal surface during amino acid starvation, but leaves this site upon amino acid stimulation in an mTORC1 activity-independent manner. This regulated localization of FLCN to the lysosomes is consistent with the increased binding of FLCN to the Rags under amino acid starvation conditions (Figure 2B).

**FLCN-FNIP Is a GAP for RagC and RagD**

Regulators of GTP-binding proteins commonly associate with either the GTP- or GDP-bound form of their cognate GTPases (Takai et al., 2001). To investigate the molecular function of the FLCN-FNIP complex, we asked if it exhibits any preferential binding to the Rag GTPase mutants. Different combinations of Rag mutants were coexpressed with FNIPs in HEK293T cells. Interestingly, Rag heterodimers containing low-affinity nucleotide mutants behaved in opposite ways; large amounts of endogenous FLCN copurified with the RagB\(^{T54N}\)-C heterodimer, whereas little FLCN was recovered with RagB-C\(^{S75N}\) (Figure 4A). In contrast, RagB-C\(^{S75N}\) was able to interact with mTORC1 and Ragulator, as detected through their raptor and p18 subunits, respectively.

These binding properties are consistent with several possible functions for the FLCN-FNIP complex. The robust binding to RagB\(^{T54N}\) suggests that the FLCN-FNIP complex might be a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) or GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI) for RagB (Bos et al., 2007; DerMardirosian and Bokoch, 2005). Intriguingly, a recent report of the crystal structure of the FLCN C-terminal domain revealed that despite having almost no sequence similarity, it shares structural similarity with the DENN domain, which has GEF activity toward the Rab GTPases (Nookala et al., 2012). Alternatively, although not mutually exclusively, the inability of FLCN to interact with RagB\(^{S75N}\) indicates that the FLCN-FNIP complex prefers binding to RagC in its GTP-bound state, a property shared by many GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) (Bos et al., 2007; Takai et al., 2001).

Because the Rags function as obligate heterodimers, it was necessary to monitor the nucleotide state of one Rag at a time. To accomplish this, we assembled Rag heterodimers composed of a wild-type Rag with its appropriate Rag\(^x\) partner. Thus, loading with radiolabeled guanine and unlabeled xanthine nucleotides allowed us to selectively monitor the nucleotide state of the wild-type Rag, as previously described (Bar-Peled et al., 2012). Purified FLCN-FNIP complex did not stimulate or inhibit the dissociation of GDP from RagB or RagC when coupled with either a Rag\(^x\) or wild-type partner (Figures S3A–S3C). These results suggest that FLCN-FNIP does not have GEF or GDI activities toward the Rags. Instead, the strong binding to RagB\(^{T54N}\) might indicate that RagB serves as a docking site for FLCN-FNIP on the Rag heterodimer. As RagB is GDP bound during amino acid starvation, this behavior would be consistent with both the increased binding to the Rag heterodimer and lysosomal localization of FLCN under this condition (Figures 2B and 3C).

We pursued the possibility that the FLCN-FNIP complex may be a GAP for RagC/D. To assay GTPase activating activity toward one Rag at a time, we prepared Rag heterodimers with a wild-type Rag partnered with a doubly mutated Rag that binds xanthine nucleotides but lacks GTPase activity, termed RagB\(^{399L-X}\) and RagC\(^{3120L-X}\). As expected, purified GATOR1, a GAP for RagA/B (Bar-Peled et al., 2013), strongly stimulated the GTPase activity of RagB, but not RagC or RagD (Figures 4B–4D). Conversely, purified FLCN-FNIP2 potently stimulated GTP hydrolysis by RagC and RagD, but not RagA, RagB, or Rap2A (a control GTPase), in a time- and dose-dependent manner (Figures 4B–4E, 4G, and S3D). Similar degrees of GAP activity were observed toward RagC when RagB\(^{3}\) was loaded with either XTP or XDP, suggesting that the GAP activity toward RagC/D is not dependent on the nucleotide state of RagA/B (Figure 4F). The FLCN-FNIP1 complex was overall less active than FLCN-FNIP2 and appears to prefer RagD instead of RagC (Figures 4B and 4C).

The observed GTP hydrolysis was not due to contaminating phosphatases because addition of purified FLCN-FNIP2 to free GTP showed minimal hydrolysis (Figure S3E). Furthermore, a FLCN-FNIP2 complex with FLCN lacking its N-terminal region (Nookala et al., 2012), but that still interacts with the Rags, did not exhibit GAP activity, suggesting that this region is required for the GAP activity (Figure 4F). In contrast to a recent report that proposed that the leucyl-tRNA synthetase (LRS) acts as a GAP for RagD (Han et al., 2012), purified LRS did not increase basal GTPase activity of any of the Rags in any condition tested (Figures 4B–4D). Lastly, purified FLCN or FNIP2 alone did not have GAP activity for the Rags, suggesting that an intact complex is required (Figure 4F).

As RagC-GDP is required for mTORC1 binding to the Rag heterodimer (Figure 1), we asked if FLCN-FNIP2 GAP activity was sufficient to promote binding of mTORC1 to the Rags in vitro. Indeed, addition of FLCN-FNIP2, but not a control protein, caused RagB\(^{3}\)-C loaded with GTP to bind raptor (Figure 4H). Together, these results indicate that FLCN-FNIP2 acts as a positive component of the mTORC1 pathway by promoting the binding of mTORC1 to the Rag heterodimer via its GAP activity for RagC and RagD.

**DISCUSSION**

A growing body of evidence indicates that the Rag and Rheb GTPases are key components of a coincidence detector mechanism that ensures mTORC1 is active only in the appropriate growth conditions (reviewed in Dibble and Manning, 2013; Efyvan et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2013). Through a Rag-mediated pathway, amino acids recruit mTORC1 to the lysosomal surface, where it can encounter Rheb. If growth factors and energy levels are sufficient, Rheb then binds to and activates the kinase activity of mTORC1. Our new findings support the idea that the Ragulator-Rag complex is a nutrient-regulated docking site for mTORC1 on lysosomes, in which the nucleotide state of RagC, and likely RagD, is the key determinant of mTORC1 binding.

Our work raises a number of intriguing questions. First, given the importance of RagC in the binding of the Rag heterodimer...
to mTORC1, what is the role of RagA/B? It is clear that RagA/B plays a dominant role in mTORC1 activation as expression of a RagA/B mutant that is bound constitutively to GTP makes the mTORC1 pathway completely insensitive to amino acid starvation (Efeyan et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2008; Sancak et al., 2008).

A likely possibility is that RagA/B controls a process that has not been recognized but is critical for mTORC1 signaling. For example, RagA/B may regulate the subcellular localization of the heterodimer, thereby controlling its access to mTORC1. While the Rag proteins appear to be constitutively localized to WT lysate:

**Figure 4.** FLCN-FNIP Is a GAP for RagC and RagD

(A) Rag heterodimers containing RagB T54N, but not RagC S75N, coimmunoprecipitate endogenous FLCN. Anti-FLAG immunoprecipitates were prepared from HEK293T cells transfected with indicated cDNAs in expression vectors. Cell lysates and immunoprecipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting of indicated proteins.

(B) FLCN-FNIP stimulates GTP hydrolysis by RagC. Five picomoles of RagB Q99L-X-RagC were loaded with [γ-32P]GTP and incubated with indicated proteins (20 pmol). GTP hydrolysis was determined by thin-layer chromatography (see Experimental Procedures). Each value represents the mean ± SD (n = 3).

(C) FLCN-FNIP stimulates GTP hydrolysis by RagD. GAP assay was performed with RagB Q99L-X-RagD as described in (B).

(D) GATOR1, but not FLCN-FNIP1/2, stimulates GTP hydrolysis by RagB. GAP assay was performed with RagB-RagC Q120L-X as described in (B).

(E) FLCN-FNIP2 stimulates GTP hydrolysis by Rap2A. GAP assay was performed as described in (B) with indicated molar ratios of FLCN-FNIP2 or control metap2 to RagB X-RagC.

(F) Nucleotide state of RagB does not affect FLCN-FNIP2 GAP activity towards RagC, FLCN-FNIP2 complex is required for GAP activity, and N-terminal region of FLCN is required for GAP activity. GAP assays were performed as described in (B) with 10 min incubations of indicated proteins. Values represent an average from at least two experiments.

(G) In vitro, the FLCN-FNIP2 GAP activity is sufficient to cause raptor to bind to the Rags. In vitro binding assay was performed, in which recombinant HA-GST-tagged-RagB X-RagC or -Rap2A was loaded with the indicated nucleotide and incubated with purified FLAG-tagged raptor along with FLCN-FNIP2 or metap2 control protein. HA-GST precipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting for indicated proteins. See also Figure S3.
the lysosomal surface, there may be a pool of Rag heterodimers that cycle on and off lysosomes upon amino acid stimulation, enabling them to find and retrieve mTORC1 from its nonlysosomal location in amino acid-starved cells. This cycling could be controlled by the RagA/B nucleotide state, which would be consistent with the observation that the loading of RagA/B with GDP greatly strengthens the binding of the Rag heterodimer to Ragulator, its lysosomal scaffold (Bar-Peled et al., 2012).

Such a model could also help address a second conundrum. As mTORC1 and the Rags reside on the lysosomal surface in the presence of amino acids, why is FLCN found diffusely in the cytoplasm under this same condition? A possibility consistent with the above model is that FLCN activates RagC/D in Rag heterodimers that have come off the lysosomes upon amino acid stimulation and are en route to recruiting mTORC1. Alternatively, in amino acid starved cells FLCN might be poised at the lysosomal surface to activate RagC/D upon the restoration of amino acid levels, but such a scenario would require a mechanism to regulate the FLCN-FNIP GAP activity.

A third question is why FLCN is a tumor suppressor and yet in most studies in cultured cells and whole organisms, including ours, it scores as a positive component of the TORC1 pathway (Baba et al., 2006; Bastola et al., 2013; Hartman et al., 2009; Hudson et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013; Takagi et al., 2008; van Slegtenhorst et al., 2007). It is possible that in response to the suppression of mTORC1 signaling caused by FLCN loss, cells override other pathways that more than compensate for mTORC1 inhibition. Indeed, in FLCN-null kidney tumors and cysts, as well as embryonic stem cells, the Ras-MAPK, Akt, and mTORC1 pathways all appear hyperactive (Baba et al., 2008; Cash et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2008; Hasumi et al., 2009), although this could reflect the proliferative state of the cells. Furthermore, in FLCN-null tumors there must be a mechanism to reactivate mTORC1, suggesting that there may be proteins that can compensate for FLCN loss. Candidates for such a role include C9orf72 and SMC8B, which have very little sequence homology with FLCN, but like it, are predicted to have DENN-like domains (Levine et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2012). Lastly, it is likely that future studies will reveal that the FLCN-FNIP complex funnels so far unidentified regulatory signals to the Rag pathway so as to modulate amino acid sensing by mTORC1.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Lysis and Immunoprecipitation**

HEK293T cells were rinsed once with ice-cold PBS and lysed with Triton lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 10 mM β-glycerol phosphate, 10 mM pyrophosphate, 40 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 2.5 mM MgCl2, and one tablet of EDTA-free protease inhibitor [per 25 ml]). When amino acid-sensitive interactions were interrogated, cells were lysed in CHAPS lysis buffer (0.3% CHAPS, 10 mM β-glycerol phosphate, 10 mM pyrophosphate, 40 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 2.5 mM MgCl2, and one tablet of EDTA-free protease inhibitor [per 25 ml]). The soluble fractions of cell lysates were isolated by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm in a refrigerated microcentrifuge for 10 min. For anti-FLAG-immunoprecipitations, the FLAG-M2 affinity gel was washed with lysis buffer three times and 50 μl of a 50% slurry of the affinity gel was then added to cleared cell lysates and incubated with rotation for 3 hr at 4°C. The beads were washed three times with lysis buffer containing 150 mM NaCl. Immunoprecipitated proteins were deratured by the addition of 50 μl of sample buffer, boiled for 5 min as described (Kim et al., 2002), resolved by 8%–16% SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by immunoblotting.

For cotransfection experiments, 2,000,000 HEK293T cells were plated in 10 cm culture dishes. Twenty-four hours later, cells were transfected using XtremeGene 9 transfection reagent with the pRKS-based cDNA expression plasmids indicated in the figures in the following amounts: 100 ng HA-RagB; 100 ng HA- or HA-GST-RagC; 300 ng HA-GST-RagB(GFP); or 300 ng HA-GST-RagB(Thr40). 300 ng HA-GST-RagC(GFP); 100 ng FLAG-Rag2A; 300 ng FLAG-metap2; 300 ng FLAG-FLCN; 300 ng HA- or FLAG-FNIP2; and 5 ng FLAG-S6K1. The total amount of plasmid DNA in each transfection was normalized to 2 μg with empty pRKS. Thirty-six hours after transfection, cells were lysed as described above.

**Amino Acid Starvation of Cells**

HEK293T cells in culture dishes or coated glass coverslips were rinsed twice with and incubated in amino acid-free RPMI for 50 min and stimulated with amino acids for 10–15 min. After stimulation, the final concentration of amino acids in the media was the same as in RPMI. A 10X amino acid mixture was used to stimulate cells, which was prepared from individual powders of amino acids. When Torin1 was used, cells were incubated with 250 nM of Torin1 or DMSO during the 50 min starvation period and the 10 min stimulation period.

**RNai in Mammalian Cells**

Lentiviral shRNAs targeting FLCN and nontargeting controls (Sancak et al., 2008) were obtained from The RNAi Consortium (TRC). The TRC number for each shRNA is as follows:

- Human FLCN shRNA_1: TRCN0000023788
- Human FLCN shRNA_2: TRCN0000237885

shRNA-encoding plasmids were cotransfected with the Delta VPR envelope and CMV VSV-G packaging plasmids into actively growing HEK293T cells using XtremeGene 9 transfection reagent as previously described (Sarbassov et al., 2005). Virus-containing supernatants were collected 48 hr after transfection and passed through a 0.45 μm filter to eliminate cells. Target cells were infected in the presence of 8 μg/ml polybrene. Twenty-four hours later, cells were selected with puromycin and analyzed on the third day after selection.

**Immunofluorescence Assays**

Immunofluorescence assays were performed as described in Sancak et al. (2010). Briefly, 400,000 of the indicated HEK293T cells were seeded on fibronectin-coated glass coverslips in 6-well tissue culture plates. Twenty-four hours later, the slides were starved or stimulated with amino acids as described above and fixed for 15 min with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at room temperature. The slides were rinsed twice with PBS, and cells were permeabilized with 0.05% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min. After rinsing twice with PBS, the slides were incubated with primary antibody in 5% normal donkey serum for 1 hr at room temperature, rinsed four times with PBS, incubated with secondary antibodies produced in donkey (diluted 1:400 in 5% normal donkey serum) for 40 min at room temperature, and washed four times with PBS. Slides were mounted on glass coverslips using Vectashield containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories) and imaged on a spinning disk confocal system (Perkin Elmer).

**Live-Cell Imaging**

Three-hundred thousand HEK293T cells were seeded on fibronectin-coated glass-bottom 35 mm dishes (MatTek Corporation). The next day, cells were cotransfected using XtremeGene 9 with the following plasmids: 90 ng FLCN-GFP (Clontech), 10 ng HA-FNIP2, 100 ng mRFP-LAMP1, 300 ng empty pRKS. The following day, cells were imaged on a spinning disk confocal microscope (Andor Technology) with a 488 nm and a 568 nm laser through a 60X objective.

**Purification of Recombinant Proteins for GAP and In Vitro Binding Assays**

To produce protein complexes used for GAP assays, 4,000,000 HEK293T cells were plated in 15 cm culture dishes. Forty-eight hours later, cells were transfected with the following combination of constructs (all cDNAs were
expressed from the pRK5 expression plasmid). For RagB-RagC2Q120L-X; 16 µg HA-RagB and 8 µg FLAG-RagC2Q120L-C1418N; for RagBQ99L-X: RagC: 8 µg FLAG-RagBQ99L-C1418N and 16 µg HA-RagC; for RagBQ99L-X: RagD: 8 µg FLAG-RagBQ99L-C1418N and 16 µg HA-RagD; for RagB-RagC: 8 µg FLAG-RagB(28-357)X and 16 µg HA-RagC. For RagD used in in vitro binding: 8 µg HA-GST-RagB; 8 µg FLAG-RagB(28-357)X and 16 µg HA-GST-RagD. For RagB, used in FLCN-FNIP purifications, it is crucial to immunoprecipitate through the FNIP component to obtain stoichiometric complexes with high activities. For individual proteins: 10 µg FLAG- or HA-GST tagged proteins, 15 µg of FLAG- or HA-LEucyl tRNA synthetase (LRS), or 10 µg FLAG-metap2, 16 µg FLAG-FLCN, 16 µg FLAG-FNIP2.

Thirty-six hours post transfection cell lysates were prepared as described above, with the exception that for all for FLCN or FNIP containing purifications, EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets were added to prevent degradation and for all GATOR purifications, cell lysates were lysed in 0.3% CHAPS buffer without MgCl2. Two hundred microliters of a 50% slurry of FLAG-M2 affinity gel or immobilized glutathione beads were added to lysates from cells expressing FLAG-tagged proteins or HA-GST tagged proteins, respectively. Recombinant proteins were immunoprecipitated for 3 hr at 4°C. Each sample was washed once with Triton lysis buffer, followed by three washes with Triton lysis buffer supplemented with 500 mM NaCl, and finally, four washes with the CHAPS buffer. FLCN-FNIP complexes were rotated at 4°C in the last Triton salt wash for 30 min for a cleaner purification. FLAG-tagged proteins were eluted from the FLAG-M2 affinity gel with a competing FLAG peptide for 1 hr as described above. All proteins were stored in CHAPS buffer supplemented with 10% glycerol, snap frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

To remove the FLAG peptide, proteins were subsequently purified on a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 FPLC column (GE) pre-equilibrated with CHAPS buffer supplemented with 150 mM NaCl. For FLCN-FNIP purifications, four protease inhibitor tablets were supplemented per 400 mL of CHAPS buffer. The peak corresponding to the desired complex was concentrated in 10,000 MW CO columns (Amicon), snap frozen in CHAPS buffer supplemented with 10% glycerol and stored at −80°C. All proteins were verified by Coomassie staining on a 4%–16% gel.

Rag GTP Hydrolysis Assays
GAP assays were performed essentially as described in Bar-Peled et al. (2013). In brief, the indicated GAPs were bound at 4°C to FLAG-M2 affinity gel. The resin was then washed to remove unbound protein, and the GAPs were washed with XDP (or XTP where indicated) and [γ-32P]GTP at room temperature followed by an incubation with MgCl2 to stabilize the nucleotide. The GAPs were subsequently washed to remove unbound nucleotide and eluted from the affinity gel with competing FLAG peptide. Protein concentrations were determined prior to use.

For the TLC-based GTP hydrolysis assay, 5 pmol of the indicated Rag heterodimer or Rap2A loaded with xanthine nucleotides and [γ-32P]GTP were added to 20 pmol of purified LRS, GATOR1, or FLCN-FNIP in 45 µL of GTPase wash buffer. The reaction was incubated at 25°C for the indicated times and eluted samples were spotted on PEI Cellulose plates and developed for 2.5 hr in 0.5 M KH2PO4, pH 3.4. Plates were exposed to film and spot densities were quantified with ImageJ.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes three figures, Supplemental Experimental Procedures, Supplemental References, and one movie and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2013.09.016.
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